

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/698,871  | 10/31/2003           | Mannie Lee Clapp     | 9084M               | 2009                    |  |
| 27752   | 7590 08/14/2006      | EXAMINER             |                     |                         |  |
| THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE |                      |                      | HARDEE, JOHN R      |                         |  |
|   |                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|   |                      |                      | 1751                |                         |  |
| CINCINNATI  | CINCINNATI, OH 45224 |                      |                     | DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)               |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|
|   | 10/698,871  | CLAPP ET AL.               |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit                   |  |  |  |
|   | John R. Hardee  | 1751                       |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address      |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                            |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   | ·                          |  |  |  |
| ,   | action is non-final.  |                            |  |  |  |
| ·—  | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                            |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-22</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-22</u> is/are rejected.  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  | priority under 33 0.3.6. § 1 19(a)  | -(u) or (i).               |  |  |  |
| ,— ,—   | have been received  |                            |  |  |  |
|   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>  |   |                            |  |  |  |
|   | •   | d III tills National Stage |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                            |  |  |  |
|   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |                            |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:   |   |                            |  |  |  |
|   | <del></del>   |                            |  |  |  |

Office Action Summary

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 30, 2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-9 and 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 925,776. The reference discloses polymers which can be complexed with perfume ingredients and formulated into laundry products (abstract, [0011]). Suitable monomers include methacrylic acid, reading on applicant's elected species, as well as styrene, vinyl benzoic acids and methyl (meth)acrylate [0014]-[0017]. Quaternized alkyl ammonium (meth)acrylates, cationic monomers, may be added, and the disclosure implies that a copolymer of such a cationic monomer with styrene, or even a homopolymer of the cationic monomer would be useful. It is preferred that the perfume not be covalently bonded to the polymer [0020]. While Kovats Indexes, RFs and ClogPs are not disclosed in the reference, perfume ingredients which are disclosed as suitable

by applicant, including citronellol, geraniol and phenylethyl alcohol are disclosed as useful [0021]. The polymers are crosslinked, implying insolubility in water. No particle size is disclosed, but the examples disclose grinding of the polymers, so modification of the particle size is obvious. Loading of the polymer to about 10% by weight of perfume is disclosed at [0030]. Using a cationic polymer in conjunction with an anionic polymer is not specifically disclosed, but it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions, each taught for the same purpose, to yield a third composition for that very purpose. In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069, In re Pinten, 173 USPQ 801, and In re Susi 169 USPQ 423. When ingredients are well known and combined for their known properties, the combination is obvious absent unexpected results. In re Crocket, 126 USPQ 186 and In re Pinten, 173 USPQ 801. The person of ordinary skill in the surfactant art would expect combinations of these materials to behave in the same fashion as the individual materials, absent unexpected results. This reference differs from the claimed subject matter in that it does not disclose a polymer or a composition which reads on applicant's claims with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

Page 3

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make such a composition, because this reference teaches that all of the ingredients recited by applicants are suitable for inclusion in a polymer which is formulated into a surfactant composition. The person of ordinary skill in the surfactant art would expect the recited compositions to have properties similar to those compositions which are exemplified, absent a showing to the contrary.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,871

Art Unit: 1751

In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a prima facie case of obviousness exists. In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed Cir. 1990).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 4. examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Mr. Douglas McGinty, may be reached at (571) 272-1029.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> John R. Hardee **Primary Examiner**

August 7, 2006